


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.


In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cam

Symmetry breaking, coupling management, and localized modes in dual-core discrete nonlinear-Schrödinger lattices

H. Susanto^a, P.G. Kevrekidis^b, F.Kh. Abdullaev^c, Boris A. Malomed^{d,*}^a School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom^b Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA 01003-4515, USA^c Physical-Technical Institute of the Academy of Sciences, 700084, Tashkent-84, G.Mavlyanov str., 2-b, Uzbekistan^d Department of Physical Electronics, School of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation
 Linear coupling
 Temporal management
 Discrete solitons
 Symmetry breaking

ABSTRACT

We introduce a system of two linearly coupled discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations (DNLSEs), with the coupling constant subject to a rapid temporal modulation. The model can be realized in bimodal Bose–Einstein condensates (BEC). Using an averaging procedure based on the multiscale method, we derive a system of averaged (autonomous) equations, which take the form of coupled DNLSEs with additional nonlinear coupling terms of the four-wave-mixing type. We identify stability regions for fundamental onsite discrete symmetric solitons (single-site modes with equal norms in both components), as well as for two-site in-phase and twisted modes, the in-phase ones being completely unstable. The symmetry-breaking bifurcation, which destabilizes the fundamental symmetric solitons and gives rise to their asymmetric counterparts, is investigated too. It is demonstrated that the averaged equations provide a good approximation in all the cases. In particular, the symmetry-breaking bifurcation, which is of the pitchfork type in the framework of the averaged equations, corresponds to a Hopf bifurcation in terms of the original system.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The problem of the influence of rapidly varying perturbations on solitons belongs to the general topic of the “soliton management” [1]. Along with other problems of that kind, this one has drawn considerable attention. The motivation is to investigate new dynamics that may be induced by rapidly varying perturbations, and predict, in this way, new types of solitons in such systems. In terms of mechanical systems, with few degrees of freedom, the closest counterparts of this setting are presented by the Kapitza pendulum [2] and the motion of a charged particle in rapidly oscillating electromagnetic fields. In either case, the averaged dynamics is governed by an effective potential, different from the original one, whose fixed points may give rise to novel dynamical states. For the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equation, problems of this kind (“rapid management”) were considered for a variable second-order discrete dispersion [3], and for the variable nonlinearity [4]. The respective averaged equations take the form of a nonlocal generalization of the DNLSE, which gives rise to new types of localized breathers. Recently, the existence of a soliton in the DNLSE with an external drive has been demonstrated in Ref. [5], and the influence of a temporal delay in the onsite nonlinearity on the self-trapping of discrete solitons was studied in Ref. [6].

The above-mentioned examples pertain to single-component discrete systems. A natural physically relevant generalization is to explore the influence of rapidly varying strong perturbations on the dynamics of discrete vectorial (two-component) solitons. In this work, we introduce a system of two linearly coupled DNLSEs with a rapidly varying coupling

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: malomed@post.tau.ac.il (B.A. Malomed).

parameter. This example, which is interesting in its own right, is a straightforward model of Bose–Einstein condensates (BECs) confined in two parallel tunnel-coupled cigar-shaped traps, combined with a deep optical lattice (OL), in a case when the linear-coupling parameter is subject to the temporal modulation [7,8]. Another implementation of the model is possible in terms of the binary BEC (also loaded into a deep OL) with two components linearly coupled by a resonant electromagnetic wave [9,10], whose amplitude may also be periodically modulated in time [10]. In the latter case, the coupled system of the Gross–Pitaevskii equations also contains nonlinear-interaction terms, accounting for collisions between atoms belonging to the different species. However, the coefficient in front of the latter terms may be effectively switched off by means of the Feshbach resonance affecting the inter-species collisions (see, e.g., Ref. [11]), which we assume below. Our goal is to derive effective averaged equations approximating this model, and thus predict discrete solitons in it—both symmetric ones, and asymmetric states generated by the *symmetry-breaking bifurcation* in the dual-core system. The analysis of this bifurcation in “unmanaged” dual-core DNLS systems, with a constant linear-coupling constant, was developed in Refs. [12–14].

The paper is structured as follows. The model is introduced in Section 2, where we also derive the approximation based on the averaged equations. Symmetric discrete solitons, of both single-site and two-site types (in-phase and twisted ones, in the latter case), are investigated in Section 3. In Section 4, we explore the symmetry-breaking bifurcation, which destabilizes the single-site symmetric solitons, giving rise to their asymmetric counterparts. Conclusions are formulated in Section 5.

2. The model and averaged equations

Following the above discussion, we introduce the following system of linearly coupled DNLSes, written in a scaled form,

$$i \frac{d}{dt} u_n + \kappa_1(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1}) + \gamma_0 |u_n|^2 u_n + f(t)v_n = 0, \tag{1a}$$

$$i \frac{d}{dt} v_n + \kappa_2(v_{n+1} + v_{n-1}) + \gamma_0 |v_n|^2 v_n + f(t)u_n = 0, \tag{1b}$$

for amplitudes $u_n(t)$ and $v_n(t)$ of the two tunnel-coupled BECs trapped in the deep OL [12], with time-dependent coupling coefficient $f(t)$. Here, we consider the case of the strong high-frequency temporal modulation, $f(t) = f_0 + f_1 \sin(\Omega t)$, with $f_1 \sim \Omega \gg 1$.

Different methods can be used to derive averaged equations for slowly varying fields, such as the multi-scale expansion [15] and the Kapitza method [2]. In the following, we derive the averaged system by way of the former technique. To this end, we first define $C(\tau) = \tilde{f}_1 \sin(\tau)$, where $\tau \equiv \Omega t$ and $\tilde{f}_1 \equiv f_1/\Omega$, so that $f_1 = \mathcal{O}(1)$. To remove the secular part of the coupling terms, we use a linear transformation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(C_{-1}(\tau)) & -i \sin(C_{-1}(\tau)) \\ -i \sin(C_{-1}(\tau)) & \cos(C_{-1}(\tau)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n \\ \psi_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where, in the case of the general time modulation, $C_{-1}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau C(\tau') d\tau' - P^{-1} \int_0^P \int_0^\tau C(\tau') d\tau' d\tau$, and P is the period of $C(\tau)$. For the periodic coupling defined above, $C_{-1} = -\tilde{f}_1 \cos(\Omega t)$, and $P = 2\pi$.

Substituting $\phi_n = \Phi_n(t) + \mathcal{O}(1/\Omega)$ and $\psi_n = \Psi_n(t) + \mathcal{O}(1/\Omega)$ and averaging over the fast time variable, τ , it is straightforward to derive the following averaged equation for Φ_n and Ψ_n ,

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_n + \kappa_1(\Phi_{n+1} + \Phi_{n-1}) + \frac{\gamma_0}{4} [(3 + \sigma)|\Phi_n|^2 + 2(1 - \sigma)|\Psi_n|^2] \Phi_n + \frac{\gamma_0}{4} (\sigma - 1) \Phi_n^* \Psi_n^2 + f_0 \Psi_n = 0, \tag{3a}$$

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_n + \kappa_2(\Psi_{n+1} + \Psi_{n-1}) + \frac{\gamma_0}{4} [2(1 - \sigma)|\Phi_n|^2 + (3 + \sigma)|\Psi_n|^2] \Psi_n + \frac{\gamma_0}{4} (\sigma - 1) \Psi_n^* \Phi_n^2 + f_0 \Phi_n = 0, \tag{3b}$$

where $\sigma = P^{-1} \int_0^P \cos(4C_{-1}(\tau)) d\tau$. For the form of the periodic modulation adopted above,

$$\sigma = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(4\tilde{f}_1 \cos(\tau)) d\tau \equiv J_0(4\tilde{f}_1), \tag{4}$$

where J_0 is the Bessel function. Note that the nonlinear coupling terms generated by the averaging method in Eq. (3) are sensitive to the phase difference between the two fields, i.e., these terms are of the four-wave-mixing type.

3. Symmetric localized modes

3.1. The general approach

To check the accuracy of our averaging analysis, in the following we explore the existence and stability of discrete solitons predicted by Eqs. (1) and (3). First, we consider stationary solutions which are symmetric with respect to the coupled subsystems, i.e., with $\Phi_n = \Psi_n$.




Fig. 1. (Color online) The top top left panel shows the (in)stability regions for the onsite discrete solitons in the (σ, f_0) plane with $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0.2$. The top right panel depicts a generic example of the soliton's profile and its stability spectrum at $f_1 = 5.4$. The bottom panels show the evolution of the two components $|u_n|^2$ and $|v_n|^2$, at $n = 25, 26$ (left) and $n = 25$ (right), for $f_1 = 5.4$ and 5.6 , as found from simulations of the underlying Eq. (1), which indicates a perfect agreement with the threshold value predicted by the averaged equation.

Using the Newton–Raphson continuation method, we look for stationary solutions to Eq. (3). In this case, the solution is sought for in the form of $\Phi_n(t) = e^{i\Lambda t}U_n$ and $\Psi_n(t) = e^{i\Lambda t}V_n$, where the frequency is assumed to be fixed as $\Lambda = 1$, by means of an obvious rescaling. Substituting this in Eq. (3), we arrive at the following equations for the real-valued functions, U_n and V_n :

$$-\Lambda U_n + \kappa_1(U_{n+1} + U_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_0((3 + \sigma)U_n^2 + (1 - \sigma)V_n^2)U_n + f_0V_n = 0, \tag{5a}$$

$$-\Lambda V_n + \kappa_2(V_{n+1} + V_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_0((1 - \sigma)U_n^2 + (3 + \sigma)V_n^2)V_n + f_0U_n = 0. \tag{5b}$$

To test the stability of the stationary solutions, we substitute the usual expression for a weakly perturbed solution, $\Phi_n(t) = e^{i\Lambda t}(U_n + e^{\delta\Lambda t}\tilde{u}_n)$ and $\Psi_n(t) = e^{i\Lambda t}(V_n + e^{\delta\Lambda t}\tilde{v}_n)$, into Eq. (3) and perform the linearization of the equations, arriving at the eigenvalue problem for the perturbation frequencies λ ,

$$\kappa_1(\tilde{u}_{n+1} + \tilde{u}_{n-1}) + \frac{\gamma_0}{4}[(3 + \sigma)U_n^2(2\tilde{u}_n + \tilde{u}_n^*) + (1 - \sigma)(V_n^2(2\tilde{u}_n - \tilde{u}_n^*) + 2U_nV_n\tilde{v}_n^*)] + f_0\tilde{v}_n = (\lambda + \Lambda)\tilde{u}_n, \tag{6a}$$

$$\kappa_2(\tilde{v}_{n+1} + \tilde{v}_{n-1}) + \frac{\gamma_0}{4}[(3 + \sigma)V_n^2(2\tilde{v}_n + \tilde{v}_n^*) + (1 - \sigma)(U_n^2(2\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}_n^*) + 2U_nV_n\tilde{u}_n^*)] + f_0\tilde{u}_n = (\lambda + \Lambda)\tilde{v}_n. \tag{6b}$$

The discrete soliton is stable if all eigenvalues λ have $\text{Im}(\lambda) = 0$.

3.2. Fundamental discrete solitons (single-site modes)

We start with the consideration of the existence and stability of a symmetric single-site mode, which, in the anticontinuum limit of $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0$, is given by $U_n = V_n = \delta_{n,n_0}$. In the top left panel of Fig. 1 we present numerical results for the stability of this mode in the (σ, f_0) plane, for a particular value of the lattice coupling constants, $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0.2$. Represented in colors is the largest imaginary part of the eigenvalues, the stability region being left black.

As seen in the top left panel, for $f_0 = 0.25$, the stability window of the localized mode is $-0.1072 < \sigma < 0.1084$. Using Eq. (4), we conclude that the values of f_1 supporting the stable onsite mode are given by $5.5 < f_1 < 6.54$ for $\Omega = 10$. In the top right panel we present the profile of the discrete soliton at $f_1 = 5.4$ and its stability spectrum. The solution is unstable due to a collision of a pair of eigenvalues at the origin, resulting in a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues.




Fig. 2. (Color online) The top left panel is the same as in Fig. 1, but for a twisted mode with $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0.05$. The top right panel shows the profile of the mode and its stability spectrum for $f_1 = 4$ and $f_0 = 0.23$. Bottom panels show the evolution of stable and an unstable modes at $f_0 = 0.22$ and 0.23 , respectively.

To check the accuracy of the predictions provided by the averaged Eq. (5), we use the static solution obtained from these equations as initial conditions for simulations of underlying Eq. (1), inverting transformation (2) for this purpose. Shown in the left bottom panel of Fig. 1 is the generated evolution of the discrete soliton, just near the stability border shown in the top right panel of the same figure. One can see that the unstable soliton tends to rearrange itself into a different time-periodic localized solution. We will see later that in the averaged Eq. (3) this corresponds to an asymmetric mode. On the other hand, in the right bottom panel we show the evolution of a stable onsite soliton at $f_1 = 5.6$, from which one can conclude that our averaged equations accurately predict the stability threshold, in comparison with Eq. (1).

3.3. Two-site modes

Proceeding from the fundamental onsite solitons (single-site modes) to the consideration of multi-site ones, a natural object is an in-phase two-site state, i.e., an intersite-centered discrete soliton. However, our numerical analysis (not shown here) reveals that this mode is unstable, in terms of the averaged equations, for all parameter values. Explicit simulations of the evolution of the counterpart of this state within the framework of the original Eq. (1) confirm its instability.

Next, we consider an out-of-phase fundamental two-site state, alias a *twisted* localized state, which, in the anticontinuum limit, is seeded by ansatz $U_n = V_n = \delta_{n,n_0} - \delta_{n,n_0+1}$. Results of the numerical analysis of this family of odd discrete solitons are presented in Fig. 2. With $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0.05$ and $f_1 = 4$, which corresponds to $\sigma = 0.455$, the mode is unstable in the range of $0.223 < f_0 < 0.469$. In the left and right bottom panels of the figure, we show the evolution of the twisted mode for $f_0 = 0.22$ and $f_0 = 0.23$, respectively. Again we observe a good agreement between the stability threshold predicted by averaged Eq. (3) and the original system, Eq. (1).

4. Symmetry breaking: pitchfork and Hopf bifurcations

As reported in Refs. [12] and [13], linearly coupled two-component systems, which are similar to the present one, give rise to a symmetry-breaking bifurcation, which generates asymmetric solitons, while destabilizing symmetric fundamental ones. The analysis of the present model reveals that a similar bifurcation occurs in averaged Eq. (3), and it generates the respective asymmetric mode. Presented in the top left panel of Fig. 3 is the (in)stability region of the asymmetric mode for $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0.2$. In this panel, the white curve designates the *bifurcation line*, below which the asymmetric mode exists. The analysis demonstrates that the bifurcation in the averaged system (3) is of the *pitchfork* type, like in the recently studied




Fig. 3. (Color online) The same as in Fig. 1, but for the asymmetric mode, generated by the symmetry-breaking bifurcation, which happens along the white curve in the top left panel. Asymmetric solutions only exist below the white line, while the stability shown above the white line pertains to the symmetric solutions that exist beyond this critical line.

related models [12,13]. As a particular example, we depict in the top right panel of Fig. 3 the solution and the stability spectral plane for an unstable asymmetric onsite mode with $f_0 = 0.23$ and $f_1 = 7$, which corresponds to $\sigma = -0.19$.

It is worth noting that the stability border of the asymmetric mode in the top left panel exactly corresponds to $\sigma = 0$, the asymmetric discrete soliton being stable at $\sigma > 0$. This observation may be explained by the fact that the self-phase-modulation nonlinear terms in Eq. (3) dominate over their cross-phase-modulation counterparts just at $\sigma > 0$.

Before we proceed to checking the accuracy of the averaged equations, it is necessary to make the following comment concerning transformation (2): if $|\Phi_n(t)|^2$ and $|\Psi_n(t)|^2$ are static, then $|u_n(t)|^2$ and $|v_n(t)|^2$ will also be static, provided that U_n and V_n are symmetric. On the other hand, if U_n and V_n are asymmetric, the transformation yields $|u_n(t)|^2$ and $|v_n(t)|^2$ oscillating periodically in time. Therefore, the *pitchfork bifurcation* in the averaged Eq. (5) corresponds to a *Hopf bifurcation* in the original system (1).

Taking the above-mentioned fact into regard, an unstable asymmetric mode in the averaged equations is expected to correspond to an unstable periodic solution of Eq. (1). In the bottom left panel of Fig. 3, we display the dynamics of the mode shown in the top right panel of the same figure, represented by u_{25} and v_{25} . It is seen that the oscillating solution is indeed unstable, as predicted by the averaged system.

As another test of the accuracy of the approximation based on the averaging method, in the bottom right panel of Fig. 3 we display the dynamics of a stable asymmetric mode with $f_1 = 6$ ($\sigma = 4.15 \times 10^{-5}$), as produced by direct simulations of the original Eq. (1). Again, we observe a reasonable agreement between the two systems.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we have studied discrete solitons in the system of two linearly coupled DNLSes (discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations), which describes several physical situations in BEC. For the case of the coupling constant rapidly varying in time, we have derive the averaged system of coupled generalized DNLSes. In addition to the linear coupling, the latter system contains nonlinear interaction terms of the four-wave-mixing type. We have constructed families of symmetric single-site localized states (fundamental discrete solitons), as well as in-phase and twisted two-site modes, and identified their stability regions. In particular, the two-site in-phase states are completely unstable, while their twisted counterparts have a stability area. The symmetry-breaking bifurcation of the fundamental discrete soliton, and the resulting asymmetric fundamental localized mode have been found too, and the stability of the asymmetric mode was explored. By means of direct simulations, it was verified that, in all the cases, the averaged equations provide for a very accurate approximation, in comparison with the underlying system featuring the rapidly varying linear coupling.

Acknowledgements

F.Kh.A. acknowledges a partial support through Marie Curie IIF under grant PIIF-GA-2009-236099 (NOMATOS). B.A.M. appreciates hospitality of the University of Hong Kong and of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. P.G.K. gratefully acknowledges support from NSF-DMS-0349023, NSF-DMS-0806762, NSF-CMMI-1000337 and from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the Alexander S. Onassis Public Benefit Foundation.

References

- [1] B.A. Malomed, *Soliton Management in Periodic Systems*, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics*, Nauka Publishers, Moscow, 1973.
- [3] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 254102.
- [4] F.Kh. Abdullaev, E.N. Tsoy, B.A. Malomed, R.A. Kraenkel, *Phys. Rev. A* 68 (2003) 053606.
- [5] J. Garnier, F.Kh. Abdullaev, M. Salerno, *Phys. Rev. E* 75 (2007) 016615.
- [6] F.A.B.F. de Moura, I. Gleria, I.F. dos Santos, M.L. Lyra, [arXiv:0908.1925](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1925).
- [7] F.Kh. Abdullaev, R.A. Kraenkel, *Phys. Rev. A* 62 (2000) 023613.
- [8] V. Shchesnovich, B.A. Malomed, R.A. Kraenkel, *Physica D* 188 (2004) 213.
- [9] H. Saito, R.G. Hulet, M. Ueda, *Phys. Rev. A* 76 (2007) 053619.
- [10] H. Susanto, P.G. Kevrekidis, B.A. Malomed, F.Kh. Abdullaev, *Phys. Lett. A* 372 (2008) 1631.
- [11] M. Zaccanti, C. D'Errico, F. Ferlaino, G. Roati, M. Inguscio, G. Modugno, *Phys. Rev. A* 74 (R) (2006) 041605, and references therein.
- [12] A. Gubeskys, B.A. Malomed, *Phys. Rev. A* 75 (2007) 063602.
- [13] G. Herring, P.G. Kevrekidis, B.A. Malomed, R. Carretero-González, D.J. Frantzeskakis, *Phys. Rev. E* 76 (2007) 066606.
- [14] Lj. Hadzievski, G. Gligorić, A. Maluckov, B.A. Malomed, *Phys. Rev. A* 82 (2010) 033806.
- [15] W.L. Kath, T.S. Yang, *Opt. Lett.* 22 (1997) 985.